



# Application of Dual Number Theory to Statistical Orbit Determination

AAS 19-716

2100 Central Avenue, Suite 102  
Boulder, CO 80301  
720-545-9191

# Reminders about Statistical Orbit Determination



- Spacecraft dynamics locally linearized via the State Transition Matrix (STM)
  - Requires the partial derivative of the state parameters, which varies depending on the spacecraft dynamics accounted for
- Let the acceleration in a Cartesian frame be represented by  $(a_x, a_y, a_z)$  then the simplest partials matrix used to compute the STM may be written as follows:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \frac{\partial a_x}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial x} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\partial a_x}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial y} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\partial a_x}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial a_y}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial a_z}{\partial z} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{d\Phi(t, t_0)}{dt} = \mathbf{A}(t)\Phi(t, t_0)$$

# Reminders about Statistical Orbit Determination



- Observations are mapped to these linearized dynamics via the sensitivity matrix
  - Requires the partial derivative of the measurement with respect to each of the state parameters to be estimated
  - For example, the sensitivity matrix mapping simultaneous range and Doppler to a spacecraft state in Cartesian may be written as follows:

$$\tilde{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \dot{x}} & \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \dot{y}} & \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \dot{z}} \\ \frac{\partial \dot{\rho}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \dot{\rho}}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial \dot{\rho}}{\partial z} & \frac{\partial \dot{\rho}}{\partial \dot{x}} & \frac{\partial \dot{\rho}}{\partial \dot{y}} & \frac{\partial \dot{\rho}}{\partial \dot{z}} \end{bmatrix}$$



# Computing partial derivatives

- Computed analytically:
  - Error prone and labor intensive
- Finite differencing:
  - Reduces the precision to half of the digits of machine precision  
e.g. if  $1e-16$  is machine precision, finite differencing leads to  $1e-8$  precision
- Automatic differentiation via dual number theory:
  - Machine precision computation
  - Negligible computational overhead in some languages





# Introduction to Dual Number theory

- Flavor of complex numbers
- With a nilpotent element: epsilon

Similarly, we may define the set of dual numbers as follows, where  $\epsilon$  is the dual number:

$$\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}[\epsilon] = \{z = a + b\epsilon \mid (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \epsilon^2 = 0 \text{ and } \epsilon \neq 0\} \quad (2)$$

Moreover, for  $z = a + b\epsilon$  where  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}$ , let us define the *real* and *dual* parts of a dual number such as

$$\begin{cases} \text{real}(z) = a \\ \text{dual}(z) = b \end{cases} \quad (3)$$



# Introduction to Dual Number theory

- Nilpotent element enables automatic differentiation

$$f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}, (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$f(a + b\varepsilon) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(a)b^n\varepsilon^n}{n!}$$

$$= f(a) + b \frac{df(a)}{da} \varepsilon$$

$$\begin{cases} \text{real}(f(a + b\varepsilon)) = f(a) \\ \text{dual}(f(a + b\varepsilon)) = b \frac{df(a)}{da} \end{cases}$$

(if the real part of the dual number is differentiable)



# Introduction to Dual Number theory

- Defining multiple nilpotent elements enables multiple derivatives

$$\mathbb{D}^2 = \mathbb{R}[\epsilon_x, \epsilon_y] = \{z = a + b\epsilon_x + c\epsilon_y + d\epsilon_x\epsilon_y \mid (a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^4, \epsilon_\gamma^2 = 0, \epsilon_\gamma \neq 0, \gamma \in \{x, y\}, \epsilon_x\epsilon_y \neq 0\} \quad (5)$$

This mathematical tool enables auto-differentiation of multi-variate functions as follows, where  $dual_\gamma$  corresponds to the  $\gamma$ -th dual number, i.e. the number associated with  $\epsilon_\gamma$ .

$$f : \mathbb{D}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^2, (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$\begin{cases} real(f(x + \epsilon_x, y + \epsilon_y)) = f(x, y) \\ dual_x(f(x + \epsilon_x, y + \epsilon_y)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x, y) \\ dual_y(f(x + \epsilon_x, y + \epsilon_y)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(x, y) \end{cases} \quad (6)$$



# Hyper-Dual Number example

Assume the following real function

$$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$f(x, y) = 2x^3 - 0.2y^2 + x$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x, y) = 6x^2 + 1$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(x, y) = -0.4y$$

Extending to hyper-dual space of size 2

$$g : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}, (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$g(x + \epsilon_x, y + \epsilon_y) = 2(x + \epsilon_x)^3 - 0.2(y + \epsilon_y)^2 + (x + \epsilon_x)$$

Rewriting this, separating between real and dual parts

$$\begin{aligned} g(x + \epsilon_x, y + \epsilon_y) &= 2(x + \epsilon_x)^3 - 0.2(y + \epsilon_y)^2 + (x + \epsilon_x) \\ &= 2(x^3 + 3x^2\epsilon_x) - 0.2(y^2 + 2y\epsilon_y) + (x + \epsilon_x) \\ &= (2x^3 - 0.2y^2 + x) + (6x^2 + 1)\epsilon_x - 0.4y\epsilon_y \end{aligned}$$





# Software implementations

- Rust
  - Systems programming language (like C/C++) with operator overloading
  - Benefits from compile-time optimizations
  - hyperdual (used in benchmark): <https://crates.io/crates/hyperdual>
- Julia
  - Scientific language with Matlab-like notation
  - ForwardDiff: <https://github.com/JuliaDiff/ForwardDiff.jl>
  - HyperDualNumbers: <https://github.com/JuliaDiff/HyperDualNumbers.jl>
- Other implementations exist too (especially in C++ and Python)





# Benchmark in orbital determination software

- Observations generated simultaneously (0.1 Hz)
- Conventional Kalman Filter
- Estimating position and velocity in two body dynamics
- Results identical to machine precision

Simulated time (days)	Computation time (seconds)	
	Analytical STM	Hyper-dual STM
1.0	0.12	0.12
7.0	0.13	0.14
14.0	0.42	0.51
30.5	0.78	0.96
182.62	4.00	5.16
365.25	7.88	10.19



# Conclusion and references

- Less labor intensive compared to analytical derivation
- Higher precision computation than finite differencing
- Negligible computational overhead in many cases
- Compile-time optimizations (if applicable)

## Main references

- J. A. Fike and J.J. Alonso, "The Development of Hyper-Dual Numbers for Exact Second-Derivative Calculations," *AIAA paper 2011-886, 49th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting, 2011*
- F. Messelmi, "Analysis of dual functions," *Annual Review of Chaos Theory, Bifurcations and Dynamical Systems, Vol. 4, 2013*
- B. Schutz, B. Tapley, and G. Born, *Statistical Orbit Determination*, Elsevier, 2004.